# **Biologia Geral e Experimental**

Universidade Federal de Sergipe

Biol. Geral Exper., São Cristóvão, SE 7(1):5-8

# NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS OF THE HUNTING AND WEB-BUILDING SPIDER GUILDS OF THE DRY GRASSLAND AND GALLERY FOREST OF CHAPADA DIAMANTINA, BAHIA (ARACHNIDA: ARANEAE)

João Pedro Souza-Alves<sup>1</sup> Antonio Domingos Brescovit<sup>2</sup> Moacir Santos Tinôco<sup>1</sup> Marcelo Cesar Lima Peres<sup>1</sup>

### ABSTRACT

This study compares the number of individuals of the hunting and web-building guilds of spiders collected at the dry grassland and gallery forest of the Chapada Diamantina, State of Bahia. There was no difference between the number of individuals collected in the dry grassland (n = 39) and the gallery forest (n = 40), but the number of individuals of each guild was significantly different between the sampled areas. Individuals of the spider web-building guild prevailed in the gallery forest (n = 27), while those from the hunting guild prevailed in the dry grassland (n = 24). The importance of the microhabitats to the distribution and composition of spiders' guild are discussed.

Keywords: guilds, spiders, cerrado, Chapada Diamantina, Bahia.

#### RESUMO

O estudo compara o número de indivíduos das guildas de aranhas caçadoras e construtoras de teias, coletadas no campo sujo e na mata ciliar na Chapada Diamantina, Estado da Bahia. Não houve diferença entre o número de indivíduos coletados no campo sujo (n = 39) e na mata ciliar (n = 40), mas o número de indivíduos de cada guilda foi significativamente diferente entre as áreas amostradas. Indivíduos da guilda das aranhas construtoras de teias prevaleceram na mata ciliar (n = 27), enquanto aqueles da guilda de aranhas caçadoras prevaleceram no campo sujo (n = 24). Discute-se brevemente a importância dos microhábitats na distribuição e composição de guildas de aranhas.

Palavras-chave: guildas, aranhas, cerrado, Chapada Diamantina, Bahia.

#### INTRODUCTION

Several studies discuss the importance of the ecological factors in order to understand the relationships between groups of spiders that share the same resources, such as tolerance to the sunlight and shade, humidity and climate conditions, strategies of prey capture, types of vegetation, shelters and reproductive behavior (Uetz, 1977; Sunderland & Greenstone, 1999; Höfer & Brescovit, 2001; Brescovit *et al.*, 2004; Peres *et al.*, 2007; Silva. & Coddington, 1996). These ecological groups of spiders are generically called guilds, a term that can be defined as a group of syntopic organisms that use resources in a

13.ix.2007

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Universidade Católica de Salvador, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Centro de Ecologia e Conservação Animal, Av. Prof. Pinto de Aguiar, 2589, Pituaçu, Salvador, Ba, CEP 41740-090, allocosa@hotmail.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Instituto Butantan, Laboratório de Artrópodes Peçonhentos, Av. Vital Brasil, 1500, São Paulo, SP, CEP 05503-900.

similar way, utilizing the same trophic levels (Jaksic', 1981), or a cluster of species separated from all other such clusters by a distance greater than the largest distance between the two most disparate members of the guild concerned (Pianka, 1994). In spite of their differences in morphology, physiology and behavior, distinct spider guilds may be associated to explore microhabitats (Halaj *et al.*, 1998), but studies comparing guild habitat specificity are few (Höfer & Brescovit, 2001). In order to contribute to the understanding of how habitat and foraging behavior can determine spider guilds, we studied the hunting and web-building spider guilds of the dry grassland and gallery forest in the cerrado vegetation of Brasilian State of Bahia.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in March, September and October of 2005 in the cerrado area of the Reserva Particular de Patrimonio Natural Adília Paraguassu (12°59'S, 41°23'W), located in the Chapada Diamantina, Bahia. Three dry grassland and three gallery forest areas were sampled utilizing two methods: i) sweeping net during 30 minutes in a quadrant (5 x 5 meters) inserted randomly in each area, ii) 60 pitfall-traps (9 centimeters of diameter) disposed in 6 lines separated by 1 meter, each one with 10 traps filled with 200ml of a solution containing 70% ethanol, water, formaldehyde 4% and detergent. The traps remained open for seven days. Most of the spiders were identified until genera. A chi-square test for homogeneity (Ayres et al., 2000) was utilized in order to verify the differences between the number of individuals in the hunting/web-building species guilds presented in the dry grassland and gallery forest of the studied areas. The two categories of spider guilds adopted in the study followed Höfer & Brescovit (2001).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among the 79 collected spiders (around 37 species), 40 individuals occurred in the dry grassland and 39 in the gallery forest, heterogeneously distributed in relation to the two guild categories. Most of the web-building guild individuals (n = 27) occurred in the gallery forest; for the hunting guild most individuals (n = 24) occurred in the dry grassland ( $\chi^2$  = 6.8, p < 0.01, d.f. = 1, Table 1). The species composition of both guilds was different between and inside the sampled areas, except for the web-building *Dubiaranea* sp. (Linyphiidae) and two hunting lycosids (Lycosidae) that occurred together in the dry grassland and gallery forest (Table 1).

We assume that these differences were due to the habitat and microhabitat structures of the sampled areas, mainly the vegetation. Souza-Alves & Tinôco (2005) reported that the dry grassland areas are constituted by shrub vegetation and does not have a thick layer of litter, whereas the gallery forest areas have a more accentuated herbaceous and litter coverage. The presence of a high herbaceous covering and shrub layers can favor the web-building species, offering a greater diversity of microhabitats than the open areas do (Wise, 1993; Elton, 1973).

As for density, in the areas with low litter and diversity of microhabitats, like the dry grassland, the individuals are more exposed to predation (Uetz, 1979), such as the web-building spiders, and we expected to encounter more hunting guild spiders in these open areas. However, in habitats with a deep leaf litter layer, as the gallery forests, we expected a higher number of individuals of the web-building guilds, especially those that fix their webs among the leaves on the ground. Both expectancies were encountered in our study, suggesting that together with the morphological, physiological and behavioral features, we also have to consider the structure of the habitats and microhabitats for a better understanding of the regional distribution and composition of spider guilds. Acknowledgments: The Centro ECOA/UCSal provided the logistic support during the fieldwork. The director of the RPPN, Prof. Lygia Paraguassu Batista permitted the study in the area. Rogério Martins (UFMG) and Sidclay Dias (MPEG) reviewed the manuscript. We wish to thank the colleagues who helped in fieldwork. This study was supported by Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento Tecnológico (CNPq – ADB) and the Regime de Tempo Continuo (UCSal – MCLP).

## REFERENCES

- Ayres, M., M.Ayres Jr., A.L. Ayres & A.S. Santos, 2000. BioEstat 2.0. Aplicações estatísticas nas áreas das Ciências Biológicas e Médicas. Sociedade Civil Mamirauá-CNPq 259p.
- Brescovit, A.D., R. Bertani, R. Pinto-da-Rocha & C.A. Rheims, 2004. Aracnídeos da Estação Ecológica Juréia-Itatins: inventário preliminar e historia natural. p.198-221. *In*: Estação Ecológica Juréia-Itatins: ambiente físico, flora e fauna (O.A.V. Marques & W. Duleba, Eds.), Editora Holos, Ribeirão Preto 386p.
- Elton, C.S. 1973. The structure of invertebrates populations inside neotropical rain forests. Journal of Animal Ecology 42: 55-103.
- Halaj, J., D.W. Ross & A.R. Moldenke, 1998. Habitat structure and prey availability as predictors of the abundance and community organization of spiders in western Oregon forest canopies. Journal of Arachnology 26: 203-220.
- Höfer, H. & A.D. Brescovit, 2001. Species and guild structure of Neotropical spider assemblage (Araneae) from Reserva Ducke, Amazonas, Brazil. Andrias 19: 99-119.

- Jaksic', F.M. 1981. Abuse and misuse of the term "guild" in ecological studies. **Oikos** 37: 397-400.
- Peres, M.C.L., J.M.C. Silva & A.D. Brescovit, 2007. The influence of treefall gaps on the distribution of web building and ground hunter spiders in an Atlantic Forest remnant, northeastern Brazil. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment 42(1): 49-60.
- Pianka, E. 1994. Evolutionary ecology. HarperCollins 485p.
- Silva, D. & A.J. Coddington, 1996. Spiders of Pakitza (Madre de Dios, Perú): Species richness and notes on community structure. p.253-311. *In*: **The Biodiversity** of Southeastern Peru (D.E. Wilson & A. Sandoval, Eds.). Smithsonian Institution 679p.
- Souza-Alves, J.P. & M.S. Tinôco, 2005. Caracterização de habitats ao longo da Reserva Particular do Patrimônio Natural Adília Paraguassu: uma análise quantitativa de gradientes ambientais e estruturais. Anais da VIII Semana da Mobilização Científica da Universidade Católica de Salvador, Salvador, p.10.
- Sunderland, K. D. & M.H. Greenstone, 1999. Summary and future directions for research on spiders in agroecosystems. Journal of Arachnology 27: 397-400.
- Uetz, G.W. 1977. Coexistence in a guild of wandering spiders. Journal of Animal Ecology 46: 531-541.
- Uetz, G.W. 1979. The influence of variation in litter habitats on spider communities. **Oecologia** 40: 29-42.
- Wise, D. H. 1993. Spiders in Ecological Webs. Cambridge University Press 342 p.

Aceito: 15.v.2007

Web-building			Hunting		
	G	F		G	F
TETRAGNATHIDAE			PALPIMANIDAE		
Tetragnatha sp.	2	-	Fernandezina sp.	-	1
Glenognatha sp.	1	-	Otiothops sp.	-	4
ULOBORIDAE			OONOPIDAE		
Zosis sp.	-	1	Orchestina sp.	-	1
ARANEIDAE			SPARASSIDAE		
Micrathena sp.	-	1	Polybetes sp.	1	-
Mangora sp.	-	1	THOMISIDAE		
DICTYNIDAE			Misumenops sp.	3	-
Dictyna sp.	1	-	SEGESTRIDAE		
THERIDIIDAE			Ariadna sp.	-	1
Dipoena sp.	-	2	CORINNIDAE		
Coleosoma floridanum Banks, 1900	1	-	Orthobula sp.	-	2
Guaraniella sp.	1	-	Castianera sp.	1	-
<i>Euryopis</i> sp.	1	-	SALTICIDAE		
Euryopis sp. 2	1	-	Salticidae sp. 1	-	1
Steatoda sp.	1	-	ZODARIIDAE		
LINYPHIIDAE			Leprolochus sp.	7	-
Dubiaranea sp.	2	3	GNAPHOSIDAE		
Linyphiidae sp.	2	-	Zimiromus sp.	1	-
OXYOPIDAE			Eilica sp.	1	-
Oxyopes salticus Hentz, 1845	3	-	LYCOSIDAE		
Peucetia sp.	-	1	Lycosidae sp. 1	1	-
HAHNIIDAE			Lycosidae sp. 2	3	1
Hahniidae sp. 1	_	6	Lycosidae sp. 3	4	1
Ochyroceratidae			Lycosidae sp. 4	2	-
Ochyrocera sp.	-	4	, I		
SICARIIDAE					
<i>Sicarius tropicus</i> Mello-Leitão, 1936 PHOLCIDAE	-	1			
<i>Mesabolivar</i> sp.	-	5			
Metagonia sp.	-	2			
Total	16	27		24	12

Table 1.Frequency of the number of individuals per species between the web-building and hunting spider guilds in the dry grassland (G) and gallery forest (F) of Chapada Diamantina, Bahia.